1	Michael S. Agruss (SBN: 259567) Krohn & Moss, Ltd.							
2	10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 401 Los Angeles, CA 90025							
3	Tel: 323-988-2400 x235 Fax: 866-583-3695							
4	magruss@consumerlawcenter.com Attorneys for Plaintiff,							
5	MONICA WARD							
6	LINITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
8	MONICA WARD,	Case No.: <u>'10CV2494 JLS POR</u>						
9	Plaintiff,	) ) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR ) JURY TRIAL						
0	v.	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)						
1	HUNT & HENRIQUES,	)						
12	Defendant.	, ) )						
13								
4	<u>VERIFIED COMPLAINT</u>							
15	Plaintiff, MONICA WARD (Plaintiff), through her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD.							
6	alleges the following against Defendant, HUNT & HENRIQUES (Defendant):							
17	INTRO	DUCTION						
8	1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15							
9	U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).							
20	2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complain	nt is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection						
21	Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788 et seq. (RFDCPA).							
22	JURISDICTIO	ON AND VENUE						
23	3. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursua	ant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such						
24	actions may be brought and heard bef	fore "any appropriate United States district court						
25								
		- 1 -						

without	regard	to the	amount	in	controversy,"	and	28	<i>U.S.C.</i>	1367	grants	this	court
supplem	ental ju	risdict	ion over t	he	state claims co	ntain	ed v	within.				

- 4. Defendant conducts business in the state of California, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Carlsbad, San Diego County, California.
- 7. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(h).
- 8. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6) and Cal. Civ. Code §1788.2(c), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency law firm with a business office in San Jose, California.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 11. Defendant is attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff on behalf of the original creditor, Citibank, with an account number ending in 0761.
- 12. Plaintiff's alleged debt owed to Citibank arises from transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 13. Within the last year, Defendant communicated with Plaintiff regarding the underlying debt by leaving Plaintiff a message (Defendant's transcribed message is attached as Exhibit A).
- 14. Within the last year, Defendant left Plaintiff a message that failed to state the

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

communication was from a debt collector (Defendant's transcribed message is attached as Exhibit A).

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 15. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
  - a. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, and misleading representations in connection with the collection of any debt.
  - b. Defendant violated  $\S1692e(10)$  of the FDCPA by using deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt.
  - c. Defendant violated §1692e(11) of the FDCPA by failing to disclose in subsequent communications that the communication was from a debt collector.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MONICA WARD, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, HUNT & HENRIQUES, for the following:

- 16. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 17. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
- 18. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

# **COUNT II** DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all of the allegations in Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint as the allegations in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 20. Defendant violated the RFDCPA based on the following:
  - a. Defendant violated the §1788.17 of the RFDCPA by continuously failing to comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MONICA WARD, respectfully requests judgment be entered					
2	against Defendant, HUNT & HENRIQUES, for the following:					
3	21. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices					
4	Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788.30(b),					
5	22. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection					
6	Practices Act, Cal. Civ Code § 1788.30(c), and					
7	23. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.					
8	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
9	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, MONICA WARD, demands a jury trial in this					
10	case.					
11	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,					
12	DATED: December 3, 2010 KROHN & MOSS, LTD.					
13						
14	By: /s/:Michael S. Agruss					
15	Michael S. Agruss Attorney for Plaintiff					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
	- 4 -					

### **VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION**

LOWNDES COUNTY	)
STATE OF GEORGIA	)

#### Plaintiff, VIRGINIA DALES, states the following:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.
- 2. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.
- 3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- 4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint.
- 5. I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it.
- 6. Each and every exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been attached to this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original.
- 7. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate, I have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, VIRGINIA DALES, hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date

10-10-10

**VIRGINIA DALES** 

**EXHIBIT A** 

- 6 -

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

# Monica Ward v. Hunt & Henriques

Hi Monica, this is Yolanda calling from the Law Offices of Hunt & Henriques. I am calling you in regards to a payment that was supposed to be sent in for a hundred dollars. We have not received it. Please give me a call. My number is 925-405-8035. Once again that is 925-405-8035. I do need to hear from you within the next 24 hours. Thank you.